

## Role of management modalities in control and development of complications in diabetic patient ,in Thi-Qar province

Ahmed H. Mohammad

Dept of Pharmacology - coll. Medicine - Thi-Qar university

Email: [ahmed-h@utq.edu.iq](mailto:ahmed-h@utq.edu.iq)

### **Abstract :**

**Background:** Diabetes mellitus keeps on developing in worldwide pervasiveness and consume amount of health care resources. The management of diabetes incorporates capacity to change lifestyle, and physical activity. **Objectives:** Evaluate of management role (counting adherence) in control status and appraisal of adherence in control status of diabetic. **Method:** A cross-sectional design was used a convenience sample of 139 subjects with type 1, type 2 diabetes,  $\geq 25$  years old, included residents of the community in the city of Nasiriyah only registered in the Diabetes Center for both gender (62male and 77female), between March and September 2016. Questionnaire sheet was used designed for collect data. **Results:** The study results indicated that the persons with a mean age of  $50.01 \pm 12.4$  years, the cause of disease for participants' more than half of them are hereditary ( $n=88; 63.3\%$ ), Considering the uncontrolled more than half of them ( $n = 102 ; 73.4\%$ ), less than half of them is within 10-14.9 years duration of disease ( $n=46; 33.1\%$ ), more than half of them are used double hypoglycemic drug and poorly controlled in HbA1c ( $n= 111 ; 79.9\%$ ), ( $n = 83 ; 59.6\%$ ); respectively; there is a significant association of HbA1c with type of drug, significant association between determinants and duration of disease and type of drug with level of p-value  $\leq 0.05$ . **Conclusions.** The majority of subjects haven't their diabetes controlled; their low self-efficacy, and decline self-management. Therefore, strategies to promote self-management for patients are essential components of diabetes education programs, skill-building interventions are critical to be able to manage their diabetes.

### **Introduction:**

Diabetes is a chronic disease that requires persistent therapeutic care and patient self-management training to avoid intense complications and reduce the risk of long term complications (Association AD, 2011). Alberti *et al.* (2007) shown the predominance of diabetes has reached epidemic proportions in most populations. As indicated by the UN World Health Organization (WHO) more than 220 million individuals worldwide have diabetes, from which more than 70% live in low- and middle income countries.

Proven intensive treatment in the Diabetes Control and Complications has been to accomplish the objectives of diminishing mean blood glucose and lessening the danger of development and progression

of the microvascular and neurologic long-term complications of the insulin independent diabetic (IDDM). In this manner, the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group inferred that escalated treatment ought to be executed in many patients with IDDM (DCCT Research Group, 1993) with the objective of keeping up blood glucose (and HbA1c) levels as near ordinary as conceivable without compromising patient safety.

The management of diabetes is so important for diabetics to comprehend on the grounds that it helps in controlling the illness and furthermore in forestalling complications. Support of ordinary blood glucose levels suppresses the onset and progression of vascular and neurological complications in type one diabetic patients. Strategies, for example, eating routine, exercise and stress management have been strongly

recommended and adopted to control T2D Among those, eat less has been truly considered in controlling sort 2 diabetic hyperglycemia,( Saini *et al.*,2011).

Despite the fact that an assortment of terms have been used to describe these self-management Including, Adherence to treatment has been characterized as the degree to which a patient's behavior corresponds to medical or health advice (Haynes,1979). In spite of all evidence that accomplishing great glycemic control avoids microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes, numerous patients don't achieve such control, generally in light of the fact that treatment adherence is poor (Nathan *et al.*,2005). Challenges to adherence and active patient engagement in diabetes care however are not restricted to, physical and enthusiastic hindrances, complex treatment regimens, and financial burdens

The occurrence of diabetes is rapidly growing, as it were, in more prepared, overweight patients who have associative cardiovascular dangers(Grundy *et al.*,1999).However, health care systems often do not have adequate resources to provide support to individuals with chronic diseases. Problems with poor self-management of drug therapy may exacerbate the burden of diabetes. The aim of the present study was to assess of management role (including adherence) in control status and assessment of adherence in control status of diabetes mellitus.

## **Material and method:**

### **Study Area**

This cross-sectional analytical study had been conducted on 139 patients with type 1 and 2 diabetes, who attended the Diabetes and Endocrine Center in Al-Nassriyah city.

### **Study Design**

The study is descriptive design included all adult residents of the community in the city of Nasiriyah only registered in the Diabetes Center between the ages of 18 – 73 years and more than one gender (62 male and 77 female) and not physically or mentally incapacitated , between March and September 2016.

### **Data Collection**

Data collection was done by face-to-face interviews from the patients and special information from patient forma. Questionnaire sheet was used designed for the purpose of the study was used to collect data. The questionnaire was divided into:

**Section A:** Demographic data of the subjects including their age, sex, and occupation.

**Section B:** Date of occurrence, cause of diabetic (if was hereditary or accident) and statues (if was control or uncontrolled).

**Section C:** consisted of questions about hypoglycemic medication which were included( insulin ,Glucophage , Daonil ,Amaryl ,novonorm ), dose (reach to optimum dose or not ), HbA1c : the normal esteem framework for hemoglobin A1c include, Good:(7.5-8.5) , Fair:(8.5-9.5) , Poor:(Greater than 9). And duration of disease.

**Section D :** consisted of questions about dependency if was depend on himself or surrogate, and complication during period of illness which include peripheral neuropathy (PNP) , blurred vision , chronic fatigue, recurrent hypoglycemic ,diabetic foot.. *etc* was obtained from case information form.

### **Data Analysis**

Data collected was analyzed using the SPSS version 23. Data had been presented in tables and graphs. The chi square statistical test was used in the analysis of the result. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## **Results:**

The total study population was 139 persons ,included 62(44.6%) men and 77(55.4%) women persons with a mean age of  $50.01 \pm 12.4$  years. The mean age of males was  $52.16 \pm 11.9$  years and the mean age of females was  $48.29 \pm 12.6$  years, as the (Table 1) shows the socio-demographic and baseline characteristics of the studied population, also describes that the cause of disease for participants' more than half of them are hereditary ( $n=88$  ; 63.3%) . Considering the uncontrolled more than half of them ( $n = 102$  ; 73.4% ) of the status of disease; less than half of them is within 10-14.9 years - duration of disease ( $n= 46$ ; 33.1%) ; more than half of them are used double hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection)( $n =83$  ;59.6%),also we see more than half of them reach to optimum dose ( $n = 89$  ;64.0%) ; more than half of them depend on himself in dependency ( $n = 123$ ;88.5%) ;

More than half of them reported poorly controlled in HbA1c ( $n= 111$  ; 79.9%) ; the majority of them have more than three complications ( $n= 124$ ; 89.2).

Table 1. Participants' Socio-demographic and baseline characteristics of the studied population (N = 139)

List	Variable	Frequency	Percent%
<b>Age (years) Mean (SD)= 50.01 ± 12.4</b>			
1	10-25	6	4.3
	26-39	18	12.9
	40-59	80	57.6
	60 AND MORE	35	25.2
	<b>Gender</b>		
2	male	62	44.6
	Female	77	55.4
<b>Cause or genetic role</b>			
3	Hereditary (yes)	88	63.3
	Accident (No )	51	36.7
<b>Statuses</b>			
4	Control	37	26.6
	Uncontrolled	102	73.4
<b>duration of disease</b>			
5	1-4.9	29	20.9
	5-9.9	39	28.1
	10-14.9	46	33.1
	15-19.9	13	9.4
	20-30	12	8.6
<b>Type of drug</b>			
Single oral hypoglycemic drug		16	12.9
6	Insulin	37	26.6
	Double hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection)	83	59.6
	Triple hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection)	1	0.7
<b>dose</b>			
7	reach to optimum dose	89	64.0
	not reach to optimum dose	42	30.2
	reach to optimum dose one drug +not reach to optimum dose for second drug	8	5.8
	<b>dependency</b>		
8	depend on him self	123	88.5
	surrogate	16	11.5
<b>HbA1c</b>			
9	Good control	16	11.5
	Fair control	12	8.6
	poorly controlled	111	79.9
<b>Complications No</b>			
10	1 (ONE)	2	1.4
	2 (TWO)	13	9.4
	3 (THREE OR MORE THAN THREE )	124	89.2

SD= Standard Deviation

Table (2) shows the relationship between HbA1c and socio-demographic characteristics , That demonstrated the HbA1c value was 65 (81.8 %) as poorly controlled in age 40-59 years of population ; in this study revealed the gender was female have poorly control in HbA1c  $n = 59$  (76.6%) than male . less than half of them  $n =42$  (91.3%) reported HbA1c was poorly controlled in duration of disease ; the majority of them poor control in dependence  $n= 97$  (78%) ; more than half of them reported that their poor control in hereditary causes of disease  $n = 72$ (81.8%), On the other hand, more than half  $n = 68$ (81.9%) were found to have Poor control in double hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection) in type of drugs used , The overall

prevalence poor control in dose of drugs used was  $n= 73$ (82%) of reach to optimum dose.

Table (2) Association of HbA1c with socio-demographic characteristics

Characters	Good control No. (%)	Fair control No. (%)	Poorly controlled No. (%)	Total No.(%)	p-value
<b>Age (years)</b>					
10-25	2.0 (33.3%)	2.0 (33.3%)	2.0 (33.3%)	6 (100%)	0.51
26-39	4 (22.3%)	1 (5.6%)	13 (72.2%)	18(100%)	
40-59	8 (1%)	7(8.8%)	65(81.8%)	80(100%)	
≥60	2(7.5%)	2 (7.5%)	31 (88.6%)	35(100%)	
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	5 (8.1%)	5 (8.1%)	52 (83.9%)	62 (100%)	0.247
Female	11 (14.3%)	7 (9.1%)	59 (76.6%)	77 (100%)	
<b>Duration of disease</b>					
1-4.9	8 (28.%)	5 (17.2%)	16 (55.2%)	29(100.0%)	0.25
5-9.9	5.3 (12.8%)	3 (7.7%)	31(79.5%)	39 (100%)	
10-14.9	3(6.5%)	1 ( 2.2%)	42 (91.3%)	46 (100%)	
15-19.9	0 (0.0%)	2 (15.4%)	11 (84.6%)	13(100%)	
20-30	0 (0.0%)	1(8.3%)	11(91.7%)	12(100%)	
<b>Dependence</b>					
depend on him	15 (11.3%)	11 (8.9%)	97 (78%)	123(100)	0.537
surrogate	1 (6.3%)	1(6.3%)	14(87.6%)	16 (100%)	
<b>Cause of disease</b>					
Hereditary	9 (10.2%)	7 (8.0%)	72(81.8%)	88 (100%)	0.85
Accident	7 (13.7%)	2 (9.8%)	39(76.5%)	51 (100%)	
<b>Type of drug</b>					
1- Single oral hypoglycemic drug	6 (33.3%)	3(16.6%)	9(50.0%)	18(100.0%)	0.04
2- Insulin	3(8.1%)	0(0.0%)	34(91.9%)	2 (100.0%)	
3- Double hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection)	7(8.4%)	8(9.6%)	68(81.9%)	83(100%)	
4- Triple hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection)	0(0.0%)	1(100.0%)	0(0.0%)	1(100.0%)	
<b>Dose</b>					
1- reach to optimum dose	10 (11.3%)	6 (6.7%)	73(82%)	89(100.0)	0.65
2-not reach to optimum dose	6(14.3%)	4(9.5%)	32(76.2%)	42(100.0)	
3- reach to optimum dose one drug+ not reach to optimum dose for second drug	0 (0.0%)	2 (25.0%)	6(75.0%)	8(100.0%)	

Table (3) shows relationship between determinants and complications of disease, we observed increase complication more than three complicates ( $n= 74$ ; 92.6) in age (40-59) ; It has been reported that more complications of diabetes

(mentioned previously and described in table 3) have been present in women ( $n= 69$ ; 89.7%) , On the other hand, the prevalence of complication more than three in among the duration of disease 5-9.9 were ( $n=36$ ; 92.3 increase complications more common among patients with the depend on himself in dependence of drugs ( $n=108$ ; 87.8%) ; more than half of them observed suffering to more than three complicates in hereditary patient as a cause of disease ( $n= 77$ ; 87.5%) , also we showing the prevalence of increase complication of diabetic ( more than three)in patients who taking double hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection) ( $n=76$ ; 91.5%) ; finally in present study we shown the prevalence of increased complications of diabetic ( more than three) in patients who reach to optimum dose ( $n= 79$ ;89.1% ).

Table (3) Association between determinants with complications of disease

Character	Complications			Total %	P-value
	1.0 (one)	2.0(two)	3.0(three) or more		
<b>Age (years)</b>					
10-25	0 (0.0%)	2 (33.3%)	4(66.7%)	6(100.0%)	0.28
26-39	0(0.0%)	3(16.7%)	15(83.4%)	18 (100.0)	
40-59	2(2.5%)	4(5.0%)	74(92.6)	80(100.0%)	
≥60	0(0.0%)	4(11.4%)	31(88.6)	35(100.0%)	
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	2(3.2%)	5(8.1%)	55(88.8%)	62(100.0%)	0.69
Female	0(0.0%)	8(10.4%)	69(89.7%)	77(100.0%)	
<b>duration of disease</b>					
1-4.9	2 (6.9%)	5 (17.2%)	22(75.8)	29(100.0%)	0.05
5-9.9	0(0.0%)	3(7.7%)	36(92.3%)	39(100.0%)	
10-14.9	0 (0.0%)	4(8.7%)	42(100.0%)	46(100.0)	
15-19.9	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	13(100%)	13(100.0%)	
20-30	0(0.0%)	1(8.3%)	11(91.7)	12(100.0)	
<b>Dependence</b>					
depend on himself	2(1.6%)	13(10.6%)	108(87.8%)	123(100.0%)	0.53
surrogate	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	16(100.0%)	16(100.0%)	
<b>Cause of disease</b>					
Hereditary	2(2.3%)	9(10.2%)	77(87.5%)	88(100.0%)	0.84
Accident	0(0.0%)	4(7.8%)	47(92.1%)	51(100.0%)	
<b>Type of drug</b>					
Single oral hypoglycemic drug	2(11.1%)	4(22.2%)	12(66.6%)	18(100%)	

Insulin	0(0.0%)	2(5.4%)	35(94.6%)	37(100.0%)	
Double hypoglycemic drug (orally & injection)	0(0.0%)	7(8.4%)	76(91.5%)	83(100%)	0.01
Triple hypoglycemic drug (orally & injection)	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	1(100.0%)	1(100.0%)	
<b>Dose</b>					
Reach to optimum dose	1(1.1%)	6 (6.7%)	79 (89.1%)	89(100.0%)	0.59
Not reach to optimum dose	1(2.4%)	6 (14.3%)	35 (83.3%)	42(100.0%)	
reach to optimum dose one drug+ not reach to optimum dose for second drug.	0(0.0%)	1(12.0%)	7 (87.5%)	8(100.0%)	

1.0 mean appear one complication ,2.0 mean appear two complications ,3.0mean appear three complications

## Discussion:

The impacts of diabetes-related complexities on health utilities scores contrasted amongst men and ladies. Generally, there was more negative impact of microvascular confusions among and macrovascular complications among men.

Past examinations have likewise detailed heterogeneity in in response to health measures upon the respondents' close to home attributes including gender.( Shmueli, 2002 and Bonsergent *et al.*, 2012). This shows men and women respond differently to elements identified with their wellbeing; this should be noted by clinicians, strategy creators, and researchers.

Age and gender globally distinguished hazard factors for diabetes mellitus. This study explored the prevalence of diabetes in both gender, prevalence among those with diabetes those with was higher in older age with a mean age of  $50.01 \pm 12.4$  years and slightly higher in men greater than 50 years of age  $52.16 \pm 11.9$  years. This result is consistent with the study of King *et al.* 1998, who have found that diabetes prevalence is higher in male, and the expanding predominance of diabetes with age is the in all likelihood clarification for this perception (King *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand, our findings further

indicated that the HbA1c value (65;81.8 %) poorly controlled was concentrated in age 40-59 years of diabetic patients and shown a highly level in HbA1c value in female  $n = 59$  (76.6%) compare with male were represent poorly controlled . Determination of HbA1c is new and exceptional technique for measuring the level of chronic glycemic control in diabetic patients ,To acquire legitimate evaluation of glycemic control turns into a basic piece of management. The results from the present study confirm study Sekerija *et.al*, who have found that the in clinical trials, ladies with T2DM have essentially higher HbA1c levels and altogether less ladies than men accomplish target HbA1c levels of  $<7$  and  $<8\%$ , (Sekerija *et al.* ,2012). These study discoveries were steady with the ADA (2001) findings in that not as much as half of subjects with type 2 diabetes accomplish perfect glycemic control (HbA1c $7.0\%$ ).

One conceivable clarification of this finding is that this sample of patients subjected showed low levels of diabetes self-administration (DSM) practices that may contribute to their higher levels of HbA1c especially in female and because of essential physiological variables are measured by age, body mass or even measures for diabetes, for example, duration or seriousness of the disease, The low levels of DSM practices might be credited to various potential boundaries to DSM practices, such as social, social, money related, medical, that confounded the subjects' regimen and may have brought about low adherence to self-mind suggestions and accordingly added to poor glycemic control.( ADA, 2001).

In this paper, we have concentrated on the gender and age differences with the complications of disease , we indicated complication more than three complicates ( $n= 74$ ; 92.6) in age (40-59) and it has been accounted for that more inconveniences of diabetes have been present in women ( $n= 69$ ; 89.7%) , a study indicates that men and women have diverse states of mind and practices identified with diabetes care , This result is agreement with studies of A. Collier *et al*, who have found that the gender orientation contrasts in both metabolic factors and the improvement of confusions in individuals with Type 1 and 2 diabetes. For instance, in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, men had a lower than woman in HbA1c levels, bring down cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol levels and lower systolic pulse and other complication,(Collier *et al.*(2014) and this result is consistent with the study of Fitzgerald *et al*, who have

found that the results demonstrated that women more probable than men to see type 2 diabetes as negatively affecting their lives and to stress over the intricacies related with the disease (Fitzgerald *et.al.* ,1995) . The elevated amounts of intricacy might be credited to various potential hindrances to diabetic practices, for example, social, cultural, financial, medical, and different components not measured in this study that convoluted the subjects' regimen and may have brought about low adherence to self-care recommendations and along these lines added to poor glycemic control.

In our study we shown more than half of them reported in both characters hereditary causes of disease and HbA1c ( $n=88$  ; 63.3%) ,( $n=72$ (81.8%) respectively. This result is consistent with the study of Masaru *et al*, who have found that middle-aged Japanese workers when researched relationship between a family history of diabetes and the occurrence danger of sort 2 diabetes .The outcomes demonstrated that members with a family history of diabetes had a 80% more serious danger of occurrence diabetes contrasted and those without a family history of diabetes. Additionally,13% of the occurrence diabetes in this populace was clarified by a family history of diabetes, (Sakurai *et al.*, 2013 ). also we observed suffering to more than three complicates and poor control in hereditary patient as a cause of disease( $n= 77$ ; 87.5%).These observations show exhibit that family history of diabetes is a solid hazard factor for a hereditary foundation that may have more in the same manner as diabetes. Further, the impact of family history might be interceded through a heritable reduction of insulin secretion and other complication.

In practice, concerning the length of diabetes in the members in this investigation was watched about half is within 10-14.9 years ( $n= 46$ ; 33.1%) and revealed HbA1c in this rate was inadequately controlled(  $n = 42$  ;91.3%). This result is consistent with the study of 178 Libyan men it was discovered that the patients having inadequately controlled diabetes demonstrated a noteworthy connection amongst HbA1c and duration of diabetes (Rao *et al.* , 1986 ) , in another investigation of 500 diabetic patients it was discovered that in the gathering of patients with HbA1c more noteworthy than 8%, there was a critical connection to the length of diabetes (Shera *et al.* ,2004).likewise we observed suffering to more than three complicates to patient which have long length of sickness significantly in rate ( $n= 42$ ;100%).

Term of diabetes is a vital factor in the development of diabetic complication, A significant correlation between the increasing duration of diabetes and development of complication was documented by Michael and Fowler , which shown Similarity as with other microvascular inconveniences, danger of creating diabetic neuropathy is corresponding to both the magnitude and duration of hyperglycemia, and a few people may have hereditary properties that influence their inclination to growing such complexities(Michael and Fowler ,2008). Predictable with other complications, the span of diabetes and absence of glycemic control are the significant hazard factors for neuropathy in both real types of diabetes, (UKPDS,1998). This may be because reflect a high level of complications which is expected in a sample of patients with long duration and poorly controlled.

This study found that dual hypoglycemic drug (orally and injection) used was significantly associated with Poor control, A1c was increase in these patients by (81.9%). An examination by Vilar *et al* demonstrated that mono-therapy essentially enhanced glycemic control, with a 0.9%– 1.8% lessening in A1C. but we results not agree with Verspohl E, who have found that more patients utilized Insulin and metformin blend was more viable than alternate mixes after 12 months of treatment and related with diminished weight gain ,a lower insulin dose , and less hypoglycemia when contrasted and insulin treatment alone that is on account of might be this treatment in beginning time of disease and the nonattendance of various complications (Verspohl et al ,2010). This study consistent with Adham *et al* , who demonstrated that patients treated with insulin or a combination of insulin and hypoglycemic specialists had poor glycemic control. This might be on account of patients treated with insulin or a mix of insulin and other oral antidiabetic agents (metformin + insulin ) had more serious comorbid diseases and uncontrolled diabetes, and therefore required more forceful treatment to control their ailments(26 Adham *et al.*,2010).

In addition, weight gain and transferring to insulin, many subjects fear injections and such dread may influence their compliance with insulin therapy subsequent to neglecting to react to a satisfactory dietary regimen and to oral hypoglycaemic agents(Kabadi,2008 ). Of the outcomes found in the frail control of the illness regardless of the utilization of more than drug that prompted an expansion in the quantity of complications.

In our study we demonstrate the general pervasiveness poor control in dosage of medications utilized was  $n= 73$ ;(82%) of reach to ideal measurements and watched increment intricacies more typical among patients with the rely upon himself in reliance of medications  $n=108$  ; (87.8%), this outcomes concurrence with several investigations recommend that a large proportion of individuals with diabetes experience issues dealing with their pharmaceutical regimens (oral hypoglycemic operators and insulin) and in addition different parts of self-administration (Pugh *et al.* ,2003).

Though a few investigations that have evaluated adherence among youngsters with type 1 diabetes (Morris *et al.*,1997), little is thought about adherence to insulin regimens in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Interestingly, patients on more than two medications had reach to ideal measurement contrasted with two those on or less which is rather than most investigations which exhibited that the quantity of prescriptions endorsed for a patient is conversely related with glycaemic control (Bakris,1998). Notwithstanding, this finding ought to be considered in accordance with the way that a significant number of the patients on  $>2$  medications were more established grown-ups (over 50 years old) and were those in the greater part who had tertiary instruction furthermore long length of disease  $>14$  years contrasted with patients on  $\leq 2$  medications who were generally more youthful grown-ups ( $\leq 40$  years) , were in a littler extent with tertiary training and less term of diabetic .Nonetheless, this finding, is reliable with numerous other studies(Brown *et al.* ,2003 ).

Elderly diabetes patients are typically at high danger of existing together therapeutic conditions which may require for different prescriptions keeping in mind the end goal to oversee hyperglycemia and the related comorbid ailment(Brown *et al.*,2003). this clarification for my findings which demonstrate the multiple complication and Poor glycemic control in spite of reach to optimal dose and self-medication management. However, poor glycemic control was related with more older age and longer length of diabetes with type one diabetic . These findings are consonant with different reports in the united states and worldwide (Rosilio *et al.* ,1998).

Adherence to the perplexing diabetes himself practices is a noteworthy boundary to accomplish and support glycemic control, in any case, previous studies

reported that way of life change helped patients with mild diabetes to keep up glycemic control. The little general contrasts seen between the way of life intercession gathering and the customary treatment assemble is most likely because of an abating in the expansion in HbA1c over time with the lifestyle intervention in the group that started with lower HbA1c and age. This perception proposes that patients with generally mild diabetes should be firmly controlled to keep the infection from declining. It is by all accounts hard to enhance the glycemic control in patients under 60 years of age(Sone *et al.*,2002).

On the other hand the Iraqis way of life has changed significantly amid the previous years including a move towards a more eastern sort of eating routine and an abatement in physical action. Epidemiological investigations reliably show that glycemic control and the predominance of diabetes and its inconveniences are firmly related with way of lifestyle / behavior parameters, for example, eating routine and exercise (Van Dam *et al.*,2002).

It appears that members in the investigation see both intrinsic and extrinsic inspiration as basic for diabetes self-mind administration. Most Iraqis are Muslims and trust that disease or wellbeing are God's will . They may trust external sources of motivation (e.g. God) will diminish them of their suffering and improve their self-care management .Religion practices could upgrade patients' self-mind administration. It was accounted for that self-care management capabilities of the patients are identified with their religion However, the greater part the specimen in the present investigation was inherently inspired as to taking solutions(37 Al-Hassan and Hweidi, 2004) .These wellbeing practices might be seen as being dependent upon one's self in that a solid will and finish adherence are expected to standardize blood glucose levels It has been discovered that most diabetic patients are exceptionally energetic for treatment and have more grounded intrinsic than extrinsic motivation towards treatment and maintaining healthy life habits. (Apostolo *et al.*,2007).Studies demonstrate that self-care education enhances patients' understanding, certainty, and level of , and prompts change in self-care behavior, glycemic control and great patient outcomes (Norris *et al.*,2002). finally Working with patients to improve treatment adherence fortifies and keep up a community patient–supplier relationship. Patients who are happy with their provider– understanding relationship will probably return for development, which is the most capable

indicator of treatment adherence and other self-care practices.

We concluded, should utilize enhanced systems for instructing and training; we should convince the patient to take after his eating routine entirely, to separate his day by day insulin organization into a few dosages (at any rate for the dominant part of patients), to be as near perfect body weight as could reasonably be expected and to incorporate physical exercise as a vital guide to his treatment. Since we likewise endeavor to maintain a strategic distance from extreme hypoglycaemia this errand is exceptionally troublesome.

### References:

- Adham, M.; Froelicher, E.; Batiha, A.; Ajlouni, K.(2010).** Glycaemic control and its associated factors in type 2 diabetic patients in Amman, Jordan. *East Mediterr Health J*;16(7):732–739.
- Alberti, K.; Zimmet, P.; Shaw, J.(2007).** International Diabetes Federation: a consensus on Type 2 diabetes prevention. *Diabet Med*, 24;pp:451–463.
- Al-Hassan,M.; Hweidi, I.( 2004).** The perceived needs of Jordanian families of hospitalized, critically ill patients. *Int J Nurs Pract.*; 10: 64–71.
- American Diabetes Association. (2001).** Tests of glycemia in diabetes (position statement). *Diabetes Care*, 24(Supplmnt1); S80–S82.
- Apostolo, J.; Viveiros, C.; Nunes, H.; Domingues, H. (2007).**Illness uncertainty and treatment motivation in type 2 diabetes patients. *Rev Lat A Enfermagem.*;15: 582–5.
- Association, AD.( 2011).** Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, *Diabetes Care* , 34,S11–S61.
- Bakris, G.( 1998).** The role of combination anti-hypertensive therapy and the progression of renal disease hypertension: looking toward the next millennium. *Am J Hypertens*;11(10):158S–162S.
- Bonsergent, E.; Benie-Bi, J.; Baumann, C.; Agrinier, N.; Tessier,S.( 2012).** Effect of gender on the association between weight status and health-related quality of life in adolescents. *BMC Public Health*, 12,997, doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-997.
- Brown, A.; Mangione, C.; Saliba, D.; Sarkisian, C.(2003).** California Healthcare Foundation /American Geriatrics Society Panel on

- Improving Care for Elders with Diabetes. Guidelines for improving the care of the older person with diabetes mellitus. *J Am Geriatr Soc*;51(5 Suppl Guidelines):S265-S280.
- Collier, A.; Ghosh, S.; Hair, M.; Waugh, N. (2014) ;** Gender differences and patterns of cardiovascular risk factors in Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes: a population based analysis from a Scottish region ,1Department of General Medicine, Ayr Hospital, Ayr, UK, 2AMRI Institute of Diabetes and Hormonal Disorders, Kolkata, India, 3NHS Ayrshire and Arran, Ayr.
- Fitzgerald, J.; Anderson, R.; Davis, W.( 1995)** Gender differences in diabetes attitudes and adherence. *Diabetes Educ*;21(6):523-9.
- Grundy, S.; Benjamin, I.; Burke, G.; Chait, A.; Eckel, R.; Howard, B.; Mitch, W.; Smith, S.; Sowers,J.( 1999).** Diabetes and cardiovascular disease: a statement for health care professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* (100); pp:1134–1146.
- Grundy, S.; Benjamin, I.; Burke, G.; Chait, A.; Eckel, R.; Howard, B.; Mitch, W.( 1999).** Diabetes and cardiovascular disease: a statement for health care professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 100;1134–1146.
- Haynes, R. Introduction. In: Haynes. R.; Taylor, T.; Sackett, D. (1979).** Compliance in Health Care. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; p. 1–7.
- Johnson, S.( 1992).** Methodological issues in diabetes research: measuring adherence. *Diabetes Care* 15:1658–1667.
- Kabadi, U.( 2008).** Starting insulin in type 2 diabetes: Overcoming barriers to insulin therapy. *Int J Diab Dev Ctries*;28:65-8.
- King, H.; Aubert, R.;Herman, W.( 1998).** Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: prevalence, numerical estimates and projections. *Diabetes Care* ;21;1414-1431.
- Michael, J. ; Fowler, MD.; (2008)** Microvascular and Macrovascular Complications of Diabetes, *Clinical Diabetes* ; 26( 2), 2008.
- Morris, A.; Boyle,D.; McMahan, A.; Greene ,S.; MacDonald, T.; for the DARTS/MEMO Collaboration .(1997).** Adherence to insulin treatment, glycemic control, and ketoacidosis in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 350: 1505–1510.
- Nathan, D.; Cleary, P.; Backlund, J.; Genuth, S.; Lachin, J.; Orchard, T.; Raskin, P.; Zinman, B.; Diabetes, C.(2005).** Complications Trial/ Epidemiology of Diabetes I, Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.*;353 (25) pp:2643–53.
- Norris, S.; Lau, J.; Smith, S.; Schmid, C.; Engelgau, M.( 2002).**Selfmanagement education for adults with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of the effect on glycemic control. *Diabetes Care*;25:1159 –1171.
- Pugh, M. ; Anderson, J.; Pogach, L.; Berlowitz, D.( 2003):** Differential adoption of pharmacotherapy recommendations for type 2 diabetes generalists and specialists. *Med Care Res Rev* 60;178–200.
- Rao, G.; Morghom, L.; Abukhris, A.; Mansori, S.; Alphgih, F.; Ragale, L. (1986).** HbA1c and blood glucose levels in Libyan diabetic patients. *Trop. Geogr. Med.* 38 (4), 391- 397.
- Rosilio, M.; Cotton ,J.; Wieliczko, M.; Gendrault, B.; Carel, J. (1998).** Factors associated with glycemic control. A cross-sectional nationwide study in 2,579 French children with type 1 diabetes. The French Pediatric Diabetes Group. *Diabetes Care* 21: 1146-1153.
- Saini, A.; Devidayal; Verma, S.; Bhalla, A. (2011)** Comparative Efficacy of Once Daily Insulin Glargine with Twice Daily NPH Insulin in Children with Type 1 Diabetes. *J Diabetes Metab* 2:124.
- Sakurai,M.; Nakamura,k.; Miura, K.; Takamura, T.; Yoshita, K.; Sasaki, S. (2013).** Family history of diabetes, lifestyle factors, and the 7-year incident risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in middle-aged Japanese men and women , *Journal of Diabetes Investigation* ;( 4) Issue 3 May 2013.
- Sekerija, M.; Poljicanin, T.; Erjavec, K.; Liberati-Cizmek, A.( 2012).** Gender differences in the control of cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes -a cross-sectional study. *Intern Med*; 51; 161–166.
- Shera, A.; Jawad, F.; Maqsood, A.; Jamal, S.; Azfar, M. ; Ahmed, U. (2004).** Prevalence of chronic complications and associated factors in

type 2 diabetes. J. Pak. Med. Assoc. 54 (2), 54-59.

**Shmueli, A. Reporting** heterogeneity in the measurement of health and health-related quality of life. *Pharmac. Economics* 2002, 20, 405–412.

**Sone, H.; Katagiri, A.; Ishibashi, S.; Abe, R.; Saito, Y. (2002).** Effects of Lifestyle Modifications on Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: The Japan Diabetes Complications Study (JDCCS) Res; 34: 509–515.

**The DCCT Research Group. (1993).** The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *NEnglJMed* 329 ; pp:977-986.

**UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group (UKPDS). (1998).** Intensive blood glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 352: 837–853.

**Van Dam, R.; Rimm, E.; Willett, W.; Stampfer, M.; H FB. (2002).** Dietary patterns and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in US men. *Ann Intern Med* ; 136: 201–209.

**Verspohl, E. (2012):** Novel Pharmacological Approaches to the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes. *Pharmacol Rev* 64: 188-237.

**Vilar, L.; Canadas, V.; Arruda, M. (2010).** Comparison of metformin, gliclazide MR and rosiglitazone in monotherapy and in combination for type 2 diabetes. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol.* ;54(3):311–318.