

L_n –proper Functions

Adnan Hashim Abdul-Wahid Al-khafaji

Computer Department- Thi-Qar University

Abstract

In this paper, the concepts of L_n –proper Functions are defined depending on the definition of L –spaces which was presented by (Kelley, J.C. : 1963 in [3]) and the concept of Proper Functions which was presented by (Bourbaki, N. in [1]). Also we used this concept to study some theorms which are related to the concept of proper Functions.

1. Introduction:

Kelley, J.C. at 1963 in [3] presented a new concept, namely, Bitopological spaces which theirs members are sets depend upon two topologies in theirs definition on the same nonempty set.

Bitopological spaces which their members are L –open sets are called L –topological spaces or L –spaces. The concept of L –spaces are used in many principle topological concepts, for example Compactness, Connectedness, Separation axioms, Convergence and others. The concept of L_n –spaces is defined depending on the concept of L – spaces.

In this paper, the concept of L_n –*proper functions* is defined depending on the concept of L_n –*spaces* and the concept of *proper functions* which was presented by Bourbaki, N. at 1989 in [1]. Also we used this concept to study some theorms which are related to the concept of *proper functions*.

2.1 Definitions [1], [2], [4].: Let X & Y be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then:

(i) f is called a **continuous function** if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an open set in X for every open set A in Y .

(ii) f is called an **open function** if $f(A)$ is an open set in Y for every open set A in X .

(iii) f is called a **closed function** if $f(A)$ is a closed set in Y for every closed set A in X .

2.2 Definition [1]: Let X and Y be topological spaces. Then the function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be proper function if :

(i) f is a continuous function.

(ii) $f \times i_z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is a closed function for every topological space Z .

2.3 Proposition [1]: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper function. Then if $T \subseteq Y$, then the function $f_T : f^{-1}(T) \rightarrow T$ which agrees with f on $f^{-1}(T)$ is proper.

2.4 Definitions:

1. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ ($n \geq 2$) be topologies on a nonempty set X and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then

i. A is called an L_n -open set in X if there is a τ_1 -open set U in X such that

$$U \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \bar{U}^i, \text{ where } \bar{U}^i \text{ is the } i\text{-th closure set of } U \text{ in } X \text{ w.r.t. } \tau_i \text{ } (2 \leq i \leq n).$$

The collection of all L_n -open sets in X is denoted by $L_n-O(X)$, and $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ is called an L_n -topological space or an L_n -space [for easiness written X is called an L_n -space], and the open sets in $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ are L_n -open sets in X .

ii. B is called an L_n -closed set in X if and only if B^c is an L_n -open set in X .

The collection of all L_n -closed sets in X is denoted by $L_n-C(X)$.

2. The L_n -product space of L_n -spaces $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ & $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ is the L_n -space $(X \times Y, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ are the product

topologies on $X \times Y$ induced by $(\tau_1 \& \tau'_1), (\tau_2 \& \tau'_2), \dots, (\tau_n \& \tau'_n)$ respectively.

3. The L_n -subspace A of an L_n -space $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ is the L_n -space $(A, \tau_{1A}, \tau_{2A}, \dots, \tau_{nA})$, where $\tau_{1A}, \tau_{2A}, \dots, \tau_{nA}$ are the relative topologies of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ respectively on A in X .

4. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ & $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be L_n -spaces, and let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be a function. Then:

(i) f is called L_n -continuous if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an L_n -open set in X for all $A \in \tau'_1$.

(ii) f is called L_n^* -continuous if $f^{-1}(A)$ is an L_n -open set in X for all $A \in L_n-O(Y)$.

(iii) f is called L_n -open if $f(A)$ is an L_n -open set in Y for all $A \in \tau_1$.

(iv) f is called L_n^* -open if $f(A)$ is an L_n -open set in Y for all $A \in L_n-O(X)$.

- (v) f is called L_n -closed if $f(A)$ is an L_n -closed set in Y for every τ_1 -closed set A in X .
 - (vi) f is called L_n^* -closed if $f(A)$ is an L_n -closed set in Y for every L_n -closed set A in X .
 - (vii) The bijective function f is called L_n -homeomorphism if f is L_n -open (L_n -closed) and L_n -continuous.
 - (viii) The bijective function f is called L_n^* -homeomorphism if f is L_n^* -open (L_n^* -closed) and L_n^* -continuous.
5. The L_n -space X is said to be L_n -homeomorphic to the L_n -space Y if there is an L_n -homeomorphism of X on to Y , [written $X \stackrel{L_n}{\approx} Y$].
6. The L_n -space X is said to be L_n^* -homeomorphic to the L_n -space Y if there is an L_n^* -homeomorphism of X on to Y , [written $X \stackrel{L_n^*}{\approx} Y$]

2.5 Remarks:

1. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ be an L_n -space. Then

- i. τ_1 is a sub collection of $L_n - O(X)$, (since $U \subseteq U \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{U}^i$ for all $U \in \tau_1$).
- ii. If $n_1 < n_2 \leq n$, then $L_{n_1} - O(X) \subseteq L_{n_2} - O(X)$, since if $A \in L_{n_1} - O(X) \Rightarrow \exists U \in \tau_1 \ni U \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^{n_1} \overline{U}^i \ \& \ \bigcup_{i=2}^{n_1} \overline{U}^i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^{n_2} \overline{U}^i \Rightarrow U \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^{n_2} \overline{U}^i \Rightarrow A \in L_{n_2} - O(X) \Rightarrow L_{n_1} - O(X) \subseteq L_{n_2} - O(X)$. Moreover $L_2 - O(X) \subseteq L_3 - O(X) \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_n - O(X)$.
- iii. If $\tau_1 = D$ is the discrete topology, then $L_n - O(X) = \tau_1 = D$.
- iv. If $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$ is the indiscrete topology, then $L_n - O(X) = \tau_1 = \{\phi, X\}$.
- v. If $\tau_i = D$ is the discrete topology for all $(2 \leq i \leq n)$, then $L_n - O(X) = \tau_1$.
- vi. If U is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ -open in X & A is L_n -open in X , then $U \cap A$ is an L_n -open set in X , (since if $A \in L_n - O(X)$. $\Rightarrow \exists V \in \tau_1 \ni V \subseteq A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V}^i \Rightarrow U \cap V \subseteq U \cap A \subseteq U \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V}^i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{U \cap V}^i$ by using (v). Since $U \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ & $V \in \tau_1$. $\Rightarrow U \cap V \in \tau_1$. $\Rightarrow U \cap A \in L_n - O(X)$).
- vii. If A is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ -open in X and $B \in L_n - O(X)$, then $A \cap B \in L_n - O(A)$. (since

if

$$B \in L_n - O(X) \Rightarrow \exists V \in \tau_1 \ni V \subseteq B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V}^i \Rightarrow V \cap A \subseteq$$

$$B \cap A \subseteq A \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V}^i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V \cap A}^i \quad \text{by using (v).}$$

$$\text{Since } \overline{V \cap A}^i \cap A = \overline{V \cap A}^{iA} \Rightarrow V \cap A \subseteq B \cap A \subseteq A \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V}^i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=2}^n \overline{V \cap A}^{iA}.$$

$$\text{Since } V \in \tau_1 \Rightarrow V \cap A \in \tau_{1A} \Rightarrow B \cap A \in L_n - O(A).$$

2. Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ & $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be L_n -spaces, and let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be a function. Then if $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is a continuous [open, closed] function then $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ is an L_n -continuous [L_n -open, L_n -closed] function respectively.

3.1 Definition: Let X and Y be L_n -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is called an L_n -proper function if:

(i) f is an L_n -continuous function.

(ii) $f \times i_z : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$ is L_n -closed for every L_n -space Z .

3.2 Proposition: Let $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ & $(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be L_n -spaces such that the function $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is proper. Then $f : (X, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)$ is an L_n -proper function for every topologies τ_i & τ'_i , $(2 \leq i \leq n)$ on X & Y respectively.

Proof:

$f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ is an L_n -continuous by using (2.5).

To prove that $f \times i_z$ is L_n -closed, let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n -space. $\Rightarrow (Z, \eta_1)$ is a topological space. Since $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is a proper function $\Rightarrow f \times i_z : (X \times Z, \mu_1) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \mu'_1)$ is a closed function for every topological space $(Z, \eta_1) \Rightarrow f \times i_z : (X \times Z, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \rightarrow (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_n)$ is an L_n -closed function for every L_n -space $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ by using (2.5), where $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $(Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_n)$ are the L_n -product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \text{ & } (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ and $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \text{ & } (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively. $\Rightarrow f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ is an L_n -proper function.

3.3 Proposition: Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n)$ be an L_n -proper function. Then if T is a subset of Y such that $T \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tau'_i$ and

$f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is a continuous function, then the function $f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{1f^{-1}(T)}, \dots, \tau_{nf^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \tau'_{1T}, \dots, \tau'_{nT})$ which agrees with f on T is an L_n – proper function.

Proof:

To prove that f_T is an L_n – continuous function. Since $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is a continuous function. $\Rightarrow f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{1f^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \tau'_{1T})$ is a continuous function by using

(2.3). $\Rightarrow f_T : (f^{-1}(T), \tau_{1f^{-1}(T)}, \tau_{2f^{-1}(T)}, \dots, \tau_{nf^{-1}(T)}) \rightarrow (T, \tau'_{1T}, \tau'_{2T}, \dots, \tau'_{nT})$ is an L_n – continuous function by using (2.5).

To prove that $(f_T \times i_Z)$ is an L_n – closed function, let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n – space. Let $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ & $(Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_n)$ are the L_n – product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ & $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively.

Since $(f_T \times i_Z) = (f \times i_Z)_{T \times Z}$.

$\Rightarrow (f_T \times i_Z) : ((f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z), \mu_{1(f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z)}, \mu_{2(f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z)}, \dots, \mu_{n(f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z)}) \rightarrow (T \times Z, \mu'_{1T \times Z}, \mu'_{2T \times Z}, \dots, \mu'_{nT \times Z})$

Let B be a $\mu_{1(f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z)}$ – closed in

$$(f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z) \Rightarrow B = (f \times i_Z)^{-1}(T \times Z) \cap A,$$

$A^c \in \mu_1 \Rightarrow (f_T \times i_Z)(B) = (T \times Z) \cap (f \times i_Z)(A)$. Since f is an L_n – proper function. $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n – closed function. $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)(A) \in L_n - C(Y \times Z)$.

Let $(f \times i_Z)(A) = F \Rightarrow (f_T \times i_Z)(B) = (T \times Z) \cap F$. Since

$$(T \times Z) - [(T \times Z) \cap F] = [(Y \times Z) - F] \cap (T \times Z), \quad T \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tau'_i \quad \& \quad Z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \eta_i. \Rightarrow$$

$T \times Z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mu'_i$ by (2.5). Since $F \in L_n - C(Y \times Z)$.

$$\Rightarrow [(Y \times Z) - F] \in L_n - O(Y \times Z) \Rightarrow [(Y \times Z) - F] \cap (T \times Z) \in L_n - O(T \times Z) \text{ by (2.5)}$$

$\Rightarrow (T \times Z) \cap F \in L_n - C(T \times Z)$. $\Rightarrow (f_T \times i_Z)(B) \in L_n - C(T \times Z)$. $\Rightarrow (f_T \times i_Z)$ is an L_n – closed function. $\Rightarrow f_T$ is an L_n – proper function.

3.4 Examples:

(i) Let (X, τ_1) be a topological space and F be τ_1 – closed in X . Then the function $i : (F, \tau_{1F}) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1)$ defined by $i(x) = x$ for all $x \in F$, is a proper function, therefore

$i : (F, \tau_{1F}, \tau_{2F}, \dots, \tau_{nF}) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ is an L_n -proper function for every topologies $\tau_i, (2 \leq i \leq n)$ on X by (3.2).

(ii) Let (X, T) be a topological space and $I_x : (X, T) \rightarrow (X, T)$ such that $I_x(x) = x$, for all $x \in X$. Then I_x is a proper function, therefore $I_x : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ is an L_n -proper function for every topologies $\tau_i, (2 \leq i \leq n)$ on X by (3.2).

(iii) Let u be the usual topology on \mathfrak{R} , and let $[a, b] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}, a < b$. Then the function $i : ([a, b], u_{[a,b]}, \dots, u_{[a,b]}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u, \dots, u)$ (n -times) defined by $i(x) = x$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, is an L_n -proper function, but $i : ([a, b], u_{[a,b]}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u)$ is not a proper function, (since it is not a closed function [1]).

(iv) Let $n=2$ and let u be the usual topology on \mathfrak{R} , and let $f : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be the function such that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{R}$. Then $f : (\mathfrak{R}, u) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u)$ is a closed and continuous function, therefore $f : (\mathfrak{R}, u, u) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u, u)$ is an L_n -closed and an L_n -continuous function. But $f : (\mathfrak{R}, u, u) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u, u)$ is not L_n -proper function, since $(f \times i_{\mathfrak{R}}) : (\mathfrak{R}^2, U, U) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}^2, U, U)$ defined by $(f \times i_{\mathfrak{R}})(x, y) = (0, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R}^2$, is not an L_n -closed function, where U is the usual topology on \mathfrak{R}^2 . Since $K = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R}^2 / xy = 1\}$ is U -closed in \mathfrak{R}^2 and $(f \times i_{\mathfrak{R}})(K) = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\} \notin L_n-C(\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R})$. Since $\{0\} \times \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\} \approx \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\}$ & $\overline{\mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\}}^{L_n} = \mathfrak{R}$, therefore $f : (\mathfrak{R}, u, u) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u, u)$ is not an L_n -proper function. Therefore $f : (\mathfrak{R}, u) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, u)$ is not proper function by (3.2).

3.5 Definitions: Let X & Y be L_n -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then:

(i) f is called an L_n^* -proper function if:

1. f is an L_n -continuous function.
2. $(f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n^* -closed function for every L_n -space Z .

(ii) f is called an L_n^{**} -proper function if:

1. f is an L_n^* -continuous function.
2. $(f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n^* -closed function for every L_n -space Z .

Notice that: f is an L_n^{**} -proper function \longrightarrow f is an L_n^* -proper function
 \searrow \downarrow
 f is an L_n -proper function

3.6 Proposition: Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n)$ & $g : (Y, \tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n) \rightarrow (W, \tau''_1, \dots, \tau''_n)$ be L_n -continuous functions. Then:

- (i) If f & g are L_n -proper & L_n^* -proper function respectively, and if f is an L_n^* -continuous function, then $g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function.
- (ii) If f & g are L_n -proper & L_n^* -proper respectively, and if $g : (Y, \tau_1) \rightarrow (W, \tau_1'')$ is a continuous function, then $g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function.
- (iii) If $g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function, f is surjective and $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1')$ is a continuous function, then g is an L_n -proper function.
- (iv) If $g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function, and if g is an injective L_n^* -continuous function, then f is an L_n -proper function.

Proof:

(i)

To prove that $g \circ f$ is L_n -continuous. Let $V \in \tau_1''$. Since g is L_n -continuous $\Rightarrow g^{-1}(V) \in L_n - O(Y)$. Since f is L_n^* -continuous $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V) \in L_n - O(X) \Rightarrow g \circ f$ is an L_n -continuous function by (2.4).

To prove that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z$ is L_n -closed. Let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n -space.

Observe that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z = (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$.

$$(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{f \times i_Z} (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \xrightarrow{g \times i_Z} (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$$

where $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n)$ & $(W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$ are the L_n -product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$, $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ & $[(W, \tau''_1, \tau''_2, \dots, \tau''_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively. Let F be μ''_1 -closed. Since f & g are L_n -proper & L_n^* -proper respectively. $\Rightarrow f \times i_Z$ & $g \times i_Z$ are L_n -closed & L_n^* -closed respectively. $\Rightarrow ((g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z))(F)$ is an L_n -closed set in $W \times Z$ by (2.4) $\Rightarrow (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function by (2.4) $\Rightarrow (g \circ f) \times i_Z$ is an L_n -closed function. $\Rightarrow g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function.

(ii)

To prove that $g \circ f$ is L_n -continuous. Let $V \in \tau_1''$. $\Rightarrow g^{-1}(V) \in \tau_1'$ (since $g : (Y, \tau_1') \rightarrow (W, \tau_1'')$ is continuous) $\Rightarrow g^{-1}(V) \in \tau_1'$. Since f is L_n -continuous $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V) \in L_n - O(X) \Rightarrow g \circ f$ is an L_n -continuous function by (2.4).

To prove that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z$ is L_n -closed. Let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n -space.

Observe that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z = (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$.

$$(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{f \times i_Z} (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \xrightarrow{g \times i_Z} (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$$

where $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \& (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$ are the L_n -product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$, $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ & $[(W, \tau''_1, \tau''_2, \dots, \tau''_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively. Let F be μ''_1 -closed. Since f & g are L_n -proper & L_n^* -proper respectively. $\Rightarrow f \times i_Z$ & $g \times i_Z$ are L_n -closed & L_n^* -closed respectively. $\Rightarrow ((g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z))(F)$ is an L_n -closed set in $W \times Z$ by (2.4) $\Rightarrow (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function by (2.4) $\Rightarrow (g \circ f) \times i_Z$ is an L_n -closed function. $\Rightarrow g \circ f$ is an L_n -proper function.

(iii)

g is an L_n -continuous function.

Let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n -space, to prove that $(g \times i_Z)$ is L_n -closed.

Observe that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z = (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$
 $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{f \times i_Z} (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \xrightarrow{g \times i_Z} (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$
 where $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \& (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$ are the L_n -product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$, $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ & $[(W, \tau''_1, \tau''_2, \dots, \tau''_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively. Since f is a surjective function. $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)$ is a surjective function.

Let A be a μ''_1 -closed set in $W \times Z$. To prove that $(g \times i_Z)(A) \in L_n - C(W \times Z)$. Since $(f \times i_Z)$ is a surjective function and $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau'_1)$ is a continuous function $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)$ is a continuous function $\Rightarrow \exists B^c \in \mu_1 \ni A = (f \times i_Z)(B)$ by (2.1). Since $(g \circ f)$ is an L_n -proper function. $\Rightarrow [(g \circ f) \times i_Z](B) \in L_n - C(W \times Z)$. Since $[(g \circ f) \times i_Z](B) = (g \times i_Z)((f \times i_Z)(B)) = (g \times i_Z)(A)$. $\Rightarrow (g \times i_Z)(A) \in L_n - C(W \times Z) \Rightarrow g$ is an L_n -proper function.

(iv)

f is an L_n -continuous function.

Let $(Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be an L_n -space. To prove that $(f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function. Observe that $(g \circ f) \times i_Z = (g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)$

$(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{f \times i_Z} (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \xrightarrow{g \times i_Z} (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$
 where $(X \times Z, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), (Y \times Z, \mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n) \& (W \times Z, \mu''_1, \dots, \mu''_n)$ are the L_n -product spaces of $[(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$, $[(Y, \tau'_1, \tau'_2, \dots, \tau'_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$

$\& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)] \& [(W, \tau''_1, \tau''_2, \dots, \tau''_n) \& (Z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)]$ respectively. Since g is an injective function. $\Rightarrow (g \times i_Z)$ is an injective function. Let A be a μ_1 -closed set in $X \times Z$. Since $(g \circ f)$ is an L_n -proper function $\Rightarrow (g \circ f) \times i_Z$ is an L_n -closed function $\Rightarrow [(g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)](A) \in L_n - C(W \times Z)$. Since $(g \times i_Z)$ is an injective function $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)(A) = (g \times i_Z)^{-1}[(g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)](A)$. Since g is an L_n^* -continuous function. $\Rightarrow (g \times i_Z)$ is L_n^* -continuous. $\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)(A) = (g \times i_Z)^{-1}[(g \times i_Z) \circ (f \times i_Z)](A) \in L_n - C(Y \times Z)$.

$\Rightarrow (f \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function. $\Rightarrow f$ is an L_n -proper function.

3.7 Proposition: Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ & $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ be L_n -proper and L_n^* -proper functions respectively. Then $f_1 \times f_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is an L_n -proper function.

Proof:

To prove that $f_1 \times f_2$ is L_n -continuous function. Since f_1 & f_2 are L_n -continuous functions. $\Rightarrow f_1 \times f_2$ is L_n -continuous function.

To prove that $(f_1 \times f_2 \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function, let Z be an L_n -space.

Consider

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times Z \xrightarrow{f_1 \times i_{X_2} \times i_Z} Y_1 \times X_2 \times Z \xrightarrow{i_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times i_Z} Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Z$$

$$(f_1 \times f_2 \times i_Z) = (i_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times i_Z) \circ (f_1 \times i_{X_2} \times i_Z)$$

Since f_1 is an L_n -proper function. $\Rightarrow (f_1 \times i_{X_2} \times i_Z) = f_1 \times i_{(X_2 \times Z)}$ is an L_n -closed function. Since f_2 is an L_n^* -proper function. $\Rightarrow (i_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times i_Z)$ is an L_n^* -closed function. $\Rightarrow (f_1 \times f_2 \times i_Z) = (i_{Y_1} \times f_2 \times i_Z) \circ (f_1 \times i_{X_2} \times i_Z)$ is an L_n -closed function by (2.4). $\Rightarrow f_1 \times f_2$ is an L_n -proper function.

References:

- [1] Bourbaki, N.; Elements of Mathematics, **General Topology**, Chapters 1-4, Springer Verlag Berlin, Heibelberg, New Yourk London, Paris, Tokyo, 2nd Eddition 1989.
- [2] Gemignoni, M. C.; **Elementary Topology**, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. 1972.
- [3] Kelley, J. C., **Bitopological Spaces**, Proch Lodon Math. 13 (1963) 71-89.
- [4] Willard, S.; **General Topology**, Addison-wesley Publishing Company, Inc. 1970.

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث عُرف مفهوم الدوال السديدة من النمط L_n بالاعتماد على مفهوم L -space الذي قدمه العالم Kelley, J.C سنة ١٩٦٣ في المصدر [3] وعلى مفهوم الدوال السديدة الذي قدمه العالم (Bourbaki, N.) في المصدر [1]. كذلك أُستخدم هذا المفهوم لدراسة بعض المبرهنات التي تتعلق بمفهوم الدوال السديدة.