

Some types of fuzzy ideals in semigroups**Rabee Hadi Jari****Akram Barazan Attar**

Department of Mathematics - College of Education

University of Thi-Qar.

Abstract

In this paper ,we study the notion of fuzzy ideal in semigroups and give some properties about it and we reviewed some types of ideals such as (regular,semiprime,(1,2)- ideal,(2,2)-ideal and gives some relationships between them.

1. Introduction:

As a continuation to the study of fuzzy sets which initiated in [1] and which have been studied by Goguen in [2] and several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by Atanssov K.Y. [3], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. Fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideal, (1,2)-ideals in semigroup was introduced by S.Lajos and N.Kuroki [4,5]. Our interest in this paper is to study some of their important properties.

2. Preliminaries:

In this section, we shall give the concepts of fuzzy sets and basic definitions with some related properties which will be used in the next sections.

Definition 2.1[1]:

A fuzzy set in a set M is a mapping X from a nonempty set M into $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 [1] :

Let A and B be two fuzzy sets in M . Then:

1. $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A(x) \leq B(x)$
2. $(A \cap B)(x) = \min \{A(x), B(x)\}$, for all $x \in M$.

Definition 2.3[6]:

A fuzzy set X in a ring R is called a fuzzy ideal of R if for each $x, y \in R$.

- 1- $X(x-y) \geq \min \{X(x), X(y)\}$.
- 2- $X(xy) \geq \max \{X(x), X(y)\}$.

3. Intuitionistic Q- fuzzy ideals

Definition 3.1[7]:

An intuitionistic Q-fuzzy set A is an object having the form

$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x, q), \gamma_A(x, q)) : x \in X, q \in Q\}$ where the function $\mu_A : X \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A : X \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denoted by the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x, q)$) and the degree of nonmembership $\gamma_A(x, q)$ of each element $(x, q) \in X \times Q$ to the set A , respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x, q) + \gamma_A(x, q) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$ and $q \in Q$.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ for the IQFS $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x, q), \gamma_A(x, q)) : x \in S, q \in Q\}$.

Definition 3.2 [7] :

An IQFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in S is called an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy subsemigroup of S if

1. $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \min \{\mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q)\}$.
2. $\gamma_A(xy, q) \leq \max \{\gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q)\}$, for all $x, y \in S$ and $q \in Q$.

Definition 3.3 [7]:

An IQFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in S is called an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy left ideal of S if

1. $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(y, q)$,
2. $\gamma_A(xy, q) \leq \gamma_A(y, q)$, for all $x, y \in S$ and $q \in Q$.

Definition 3.4 [7]:

An intuitionistic Q-fuzzy intuitionistic subsemigroup $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S is called an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if

1. $\mu_A(xwy, q) \geq \min \{\mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q)\}$,
2. $\gamma_A(xwy, q) \leq \max \{\gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q)\}$, for all $x, y, w \in S$ and $q \in Q$.

Proposition 3.5:

If A_i is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy bi-ideal of $S \ \forall i \in \wedge$, then $\bigcap A_i$ is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy bi-ideal, where $\bigcap A_i = (\wedge \mu_{A_i}, \vee \gamma_{A_i})$ and $\wedge \mu_{A_i}(x) = \inf \{\mu_{A_i}(x) / i \in \wedge, x \in S\}$, $\vee \gamma_{A_i}(x) = \sup \{\gamma_{A_i}(x) / i \in \wedge, x \in S\}$.

Proof:

To prove $\bigcap A_i$ is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy subsemigroup

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \mu_{A_i}(xy, q) &\geq \wedge \{\min \{\mu_{A_i}(x, q), \mu_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} \\ &= \min \{\min \{\mu_{A_i}(x, q), \mu_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} = \min \{\min \{\mu_{A_i}(x, q), \min \mu_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} = \\ &\min \{\wedge \{\mu_{A_i}(x, q), \wedge \mu_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vee \gamma_{A_i}(xy, q) &\leq \vee \{\max \{\gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \gamma_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} = \max \{\max \{\gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \gamma_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} = \\ &\{\max \{\gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \max \gamma_{A_i}(y, q)\}\} = \max \{\vee \{\gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \vee \gamma_{A_i}(y, q)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\cap A_i$ is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy intuitionistic subsemigroup of S.

To prove $\cap A_i$ is Q-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } x, y, a \in S, \text{ then } \mu_{A_i}(xay, q) &\geq \wedge \{ \min \{ \mu_{A_i}(x, q), \mu_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} = \\ \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{A_i}(x, q), \mu_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} &= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{A_i}(x, q), \min \mu_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} = \\ \min \{ \wedge \{ \mu_{A_i}(x, q), \wedge \mu_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} & \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vee \gamma_{A_i}(xay, q) &\leq \vee \{ \max \{ \gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \gamma_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} = \max \{ \max \{ \gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \gamma_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} = \\ \max \{ \max \{ \gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \max \gamma_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} &= \max \{ \vee \gamma_{A_i}(x, q), \vee \gamma_{A_i}(y, q) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\cap A_i$ is intuitionistic Q-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Definition 3.6 [8]:

An intuitionistic Q-fuzzy intuitionistic subsemigroup $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of S if

1. $\mu_A(xw(yz), q) \geq \min \{ \mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q), \mu_A(z, q) \}$,
2. $\gamma_A(xw(yz), q) \leq \max \{ \gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q), \gamma_A(z, q) \}$ for all $w, x, y, z \in S$ and $q \in Q$.

Proposition 3.7:

Every intuitionistic Q-fuzzy bi-ideal is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy (1,2)-ideal.

Proof:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy intuitionistic bi-ideal of S and let $w, x, y, z \in S$ and $q \in Q$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(xw(yz), q) &= \mu_A(x(wy)z, q) \geq \min \{ \mu_A((xwy), q), \mu_A(z, q) \} \geq \\ \min \{ \min \{ \mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q), \mu_A(z, q) \} \} &= \min \{ \{ \mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q), \mu_A(z, q) \} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(xw(yz), q) &= \gamma_A(x(wy)z, q) \leq \max \{ \gamma_A((xwy), q), \gamma_A(z, q) \} = \\ \max \{ \gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q), \gamma_A(z, q) \}. & \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of S.

Recall that a semigroup S is said to be regular if, for each $x \in S$, there exists $y \in S$ such that $x=xyx$, [9].

Proposition 3.8:

If S is a regular semigroup, then every intuitionistic Q -fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of S is an intuitionistic Q -fuzzy bi-ideal.

Proof:

Suppose that S is a regular semigroup and let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic Q -fuzzy (1,2)-ideal of S .

Let $w, x, y \in S$, since S regular, then $xw \in (xSx)S \subseteq xS$, it follows $xw = xsx$ for some $s \in S$, consequently

$$\mu_A(xwy, q) = \mu_A((xwx)y, q) = \mu_A(xs(xy), q) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q)\} = \min\{\mu_A(x, q), \mu_A(y, q)\}$$

and

$$\gamma_A((xwy), q) = \gamma_A((xwx)y, q) = \gamma_A(xs(xy), q) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q)\} = \max\{\gamma_A(x, q), \gamma_A(y, q)\}$$

Hence $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic Q -fuzzy bi-ideal of S .

Recall that, A semigroup S is said to be (2,2)-regular if $x \in x^2Sx^2$ for all $x \in S$, [9,10].

Proposition 3.9:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic Q -fuzzy bi-ideal of S . If S is a (2,2)-regular, then $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$ for all $a \in S$.

Proof:

Let $a \in S$, since S is (2,2)-regular, then $a \in a^2Sa^2$, implies that $a = a^2xa^2$ for some $x \in S$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \mu_A(a, q) &= \mu_A(a^2xa^2, q) \geq \min\{\mu_A(a^2, q), \mu_A(a^2, q)\} = \mu_A(a^2, q) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A(a, q), \mu_A(a, q)\} = \mu_A(a, q) \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(a, q) &= \gamma_A(a^2xa^2, q) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(a^2, q), \gamma_A(a^2, q)\} = \gamma_A(a^2, q) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(a, q), \gamma_A(a, q)\} = \\ &\gamma_A(a, q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mu_A(a, q) = \mu_A(a^2, q)$ and $\gamma_A(a, q) = \gamma_A(a^2, q)$; that is $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$.

Recall that, a semigroup S is called intra-regular if, for each element a of S, there exists elements x and y in S such that $a = xa^2y$, [9].

Proposition 3.10:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy ideal of S. If S is an intra-regular, then $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$ for all $a \in S$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof:

Let $a \in S$, since S is intra-regular, there exist x and y in S such that $a = xa^2y$.

Now, $\mu_A(a, q) = \mu_A(xa^2y, q) \geq \mu_A(xa^2, q) \geq \mu_A(a^2, q) \geq \{\mu_A(a, q), \mu_A(a, q)\} = \mu_A(a, q)$.

Also, $\gamma_A(a, q) = \gamma_A(xa^2y, q) = \gamma_A(xa^2, q) \leq \gamma_A(a^2, q) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(a, q), \gamma_A(a, q)\} = \gamma_A(a, q)$.

Since $\mu_A(a, q) = \mu_A(a^2, q)$ and $\gamma_A(a, q) = \gamma_A(a^2, q)$, then $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$.

Corollary 3.11 ;

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic Q-fuzzy ideal of S. If S is intra-regular, then $A(ab, q) = A(ba, q)$ for all $a, b \in S$.

Proof:

Let $a, b \in S$. Then by Proposition 3.10,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(ab, q) &= \mu_A((ab)^2, q) \geq \mu_A((a(ba)b), q) \geq \mu_A(ba, q) = \mu_A((ba)^2, q) \\ &\geq \mu_A(b(ab)a, q) \geq \mu_A(ab, q). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(ab, q) &= \gamma_A((ab)^2, q) = \gamma_A(a(ba)b, q) \leq \gamma_A(ba, q) = \gamma_A((ba)^2, q) = \\ &\gamma_A(b(ab)a, q) \leq \gamma_A(ab, q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_A(ab, q) = \mu_A(ba, q)$ and $\gamma_A(ab, q) = \gamma_A(ba, q)$, so $A(ab, q) = A(ba, q)$.

4. Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals

Definition 4.1[10]:

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, IFS) A in a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ where the function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denoted by the degree of membership and the degree of non

membership, respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ in X can be identified to an order pair (μ_A, γ_A) in $I^X \times I^X$. For the sake of simplicity, denoted by the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ for the IFS $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$.

Definition 4.2 [9]:

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy subsemigroup of S if

1. $\mu_A(xy) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$.
2. $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \max\{\gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition 4.3 [9]:

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of S if

1. $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(y)$,
2. $\gamma_A(xy) \leq \gamma_A(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition 4.4 [3]:

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ in S is called intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime if

1. $\mu_A(x) \geq \mu_A(x^2)$
2. $\gamma_A(x) \leq \gamma_A(x^2)$, for all $x \in S$.

Proposition 4.5 :

For any intuitionistic fuzzy subsemigroup $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S , if $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime, then $A(a) = A(a^2)$.

Proof:

Let $a \in S$. Then, $\mu_A(a) \geq \mu_A(a^2) = \min\{\mu_A(a), \mu_A(a)\} = \mu_A(a)$.

So, $\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a^2)$

And $\gamma_A(a) \leq \gamma_A(a^2) = \max\{\gamma_A(a), \gamma_A(a)\} = \gamma_A(a)$.

Hence, $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(a^2)$, it follows that $A(a) = A(a^2)$.

Recall that a semigroup is called left regular if for each element a of S , there exists an element x in S such that $a = xa^2$, [9].

Proposition 4.6:

Let S be left regular, then every intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of S is intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime.

Proof:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy left ideal of S and let $a \in S$, then there exists an element x in S such that $a = xa^2$, then $\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(xa^2) \geq \mu_A(a^2)$ since S is left regular.

Also, we have $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(xa^2) \leq \gamma_A(a^2)$; that is A is fuzzy semiprime.

Proposition 4.7:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S . If S is intra-regular, then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime.

Proof:

Let $a \in S$. Then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a = xa^2y$, thus

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(xa^2y) \geq \mu_A(xa^2) \geq \mu_A(a^2) \geq \mu_A(a^2)$$

and $\gamma_A(a) = \gamma_A(xa^2y) \leq \gamma_A(a^2y) \leq \gamma_A(a^2)$

Hence, $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime.

Definition 4.8[2]:

An intuitionistic fuzzy subsemigroup $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ of S is called an intuitionistic fuzzy interior ideal of S if

1. $\mu_A(xay) \geq \mu_A(a)$
2. $\gamma_A(xay) \leq \gamma_A(a)$, for all $x, y, a \in S$.

Proposition 4.9:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy interior ideal of S . If S is an intra-regular, then $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy semiprime.

Proof:

It follows by definition 4.4 and definition 4.8.

REFERENCES :

- [1] Zadeh.L.A.,(1965),"Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control,8:338-353.
- [2] Goguen J.A.,(1967),"L-Fuzzy Sets",Math.Anal.Appl.,18:145-174.
- [3] Atanassov K.T.,(1986),"Intuitionistic fuzzy sets",Fuzzy sets and Systems,20:87-96.
- [4] Lajos S.,(1961),"Generalized ideals in semigroup",Scta Sci.Math.,22:217-222.
- [5] Kuroki N.,(1982),"Fuzzy semiprime ideals in semigroups",Fuzzy sets and Systems,8:71-79.
- [6] Martines L. ,(1996),"Fuzzy modules over fuzzy rings in connection with Fuzzy ideal of Fuzzy ring ".
J.Fuzzy Mathematics , 4:843-857.
- [7] Roh,E.H and Lee,J.G.(2006),"intuitionistic Q-fuzzy subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras",international
Mathematical Forum,24:1167-1174.
- [8] Lajos S.,(1997)," $(1,2)$ -ideal characterizations of unions of groups",Kobe J.Math. 5:447-450.
- [9] Kuroki N.,(1981),N."On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups",Fuzzy sets and Systems,5:203-
215.
- [10] Atanassov K.T.,(1994),"New operations defined over the Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy sets and
Systems ,61:137-142.

Some types of fuzzy ideals in semigroups

ربيع هادي جاري اكرم برزان عطار

قسم الرياضيات - كلية التربية - جامعة ذي قار

المخلص

درسنا في هذا البحث مفهوم المثالي الضبابي في شبه الزمرة وأعطينا بعض الخواص وذكرنا بعض

أنواع المثاليات مثل (المنتظم وشبه الأولية والمثاليات من النوع (٢،١) و من النوع (٢،٢)) وأعطينا

بعض العلاقات بينهما.