

Spray-Pyrolyzed Thin Films of GO, TiO₂, ZnO, and SnO₂: A Comparative Structural and Morphological Study

Mustafa Al-Shuwaili*^{1a}, Hayder Mousa Neamah^{2b}, Hayder Mohsin Jasimand^{3c} and Mahdi Hdidar^{4d}

¹Department of physics, College of Science, University of Thi-Qar, 64001, Iraq

²College of Education, Department of Physics, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

³College of Basic Education, Al-Mustansiriya University, Iraq

⁴Laboratory of Ceramics Composites and Polymer Materials (LaMaCoP), Faculty of sciences of Sfax, University of Sfax, Tunisia.

^bE-mail: Hayder.mosa@qu.edu.iq

, ^cE-mail: haider_mohsen1993@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq, ^dE-mail: mahdi.hdidar@fss.usf.tn

^{a*}Corresponding author: mustafa.khaleel.ph@utq.edu.iq

Received: 13-04-2026, Revised: 30-05-2026, Accepted: 31-05-2026, Published: 01-06-2026

Abstract—This study examined the optical characteristics of graphene oxide (GO) and its nanocomposites (GO@TiO₂, GO@ZnO, and GO@SnO₂). The nanocomposites were prepared using a hydrothermal method. X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used to investigate the structure and morphology of the materials.

The XRD diffractograms confirmed the successful integration of metal nanoparticles onto GO sheets. For GO@ZnO, characteristic diffraction peaks confirmed the presence of ZnO nanoparticles. For GO@SnO₂, peaks corresponding to crystallographic planes (110), (101), (200), (211), (220), and (112) confirmed the SnO₂ phase. For GO@TiO₂, peaks associated with planes (001), (101), (004), (200), and (110) confirmed the TiO₂ phase. The pictures seen in the crystallographic planes (110), (101), (200), (211), (220), and (112) for the nanocomposite GO@SnO₂ confirm the creation of the phase SnO₂. Furthermore, the development of the GO@TiO₂ nanocomposite is confirmed by the images associated with plans (001), (101), (004), (200), and (110), which are characteristic of the phase TiO₂. EDX analysis revealed the presence of oxygen-containing groups characteristic of GO and confirmed the incorporation of metal oxide nanoparticles within the nanocomposites. AFM investigations showed that the introduction of nanoparticles resulted in a decrease in surface roughness and altered the topography of GO sheets. FESEM images further confirmed the morphological changes, showing spherical ZnO nanoparticles, leaf-like SnO₂ structures, and agglomerated TiO₂ grains on GO surfaces.

Keywords—Graphene Oxide, GO nanocomposites, metal oxide, thin film, spray pyrolysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to their exceptional mechanical, electrical, thermal, and barrier properties, graphene-based nanocomposites have experienced rapid growth during the past ten years [1]. Among the graphene derivatives, graphene oxide (GO) and graphene oxide reduced (rGO) stand out as promising candidates for nanocomposite applications, particularly due

to their synthetic and extendable nature using descendent (top-down) approaches [2]. These materials have expanded the field of nanocomposites and contributed to significant advancements in this field.

Since its separation by Andre Geim and Konstantin Novoselov in 2004, graphs have taken center stage in material science research. On the structural level, it is a two-dimensional (2D) allotrope of carbon in which each atom contributes to a localized π , giving this material remarkable physical properties [3]. The graphene has a Young module of about 1 TPa, high electrical conductivity, exceptional charge carrier mobility, high thermal conductivity, and a large specific surface area ($\sim 2630 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) [4]. These unique characteristics make graphene and its derivatives appealing for a variety of technological applications, including flexible electronics, energy storage systems, capacitors, optoelectronic devices, and biomedicine [5].

The materials derived from graphene have been extensively investigated for advanced applications, such as transparent conductive electrodes, flexible electrodes, hydrogen storage systems, high-speed electronic devices, and biocaptors [6].

In the biomedical field, they have been investigated for the reinforcement of biodegradable materials used in osseous and tissue engineering, as well as for medication administration and cicatrisation [7]. Recent research focuses on integrating graphene derivatives with metallic oxides to create nanocomposites with improved physicochemical properties.

For instance, adding rGO to small TiO₂ sofas improves their structural, optical, and functional properties. In this connection, A.S. AlShammari et al. (2020) [8] deposited small TiO₂/rGO couches via pyrolysis by aerosol on oxygen-doped fluor (FTO) substrates, demonstrating the impact of GO teneur on crystallinity, morphology, and optical properties. Additionally, TiO₂-rGO composites have been extensively studied for photocatalytic applications,



such as hydrogen synthesis using solar energy, where the amount of rGO plays a crucial role in improving light absorption and charge separation efficiency [9].

Furthermore, the synthesis of GO-oxide metal nanocomposites is frequently reported. According to R.P. Reshma et al. (2024) [10], GO and SnO₂ nanoparticles can be produced via coprecipitation and modified Hummers methods, respectively, while GO-SnO₂ nanocomposites are often separated by hydrothermal means. Oxide metal and graphene oxide nanocomposites (TMO/GO), including those based on SnO₂, are a type of polyvalent materials that combine the redox activity of metal oxides with the electrical conductivity and mechanical robustness of graphene derivatives. This synergy makes them particularly suited for applications in energy storage, catalysis, and environmental remediation [11].

Recent developments have highlighted the potential of graphene-based nanocomposites for biodetection platforms of biomolecules, heavy metals, and disease biomarkers [12], as well as for drug delivery systems in cancer and tissue engineering [13]. Despite these advancements, there are still concerns about the long-term stability of these nanocomposites for practical and commercial uses [14]. Additionally, because of their simplicity, polyvalence, and effectiveness, hydrothermal approaches have been widely used for the synthesis of nanocomposites based on metal oxides and graphene [15]. Thus, pure SnO₂ nanoparticles and SnO₂-rGO nanocomposites were synthesized using a hydrothermal monobloc method [16]. These investigations provide important information about the structural, morphological, compositional, optical, and vibrational properties of synthetic materials.

More recently, Rasha S. Yousif (2025) [17] reported on the development of graphene oxide (GO)-based nanocomposites containing ZnO and SnO₂ nanoparticles, paying particular attention to their structural, morphological, and autonetoyant characteristics. In this work, GO was prepared using a modified Hummers method and then linked to ZnO and SnO₂ nanoparticles. The resulting nanocomposites were deposited as small couches on verre substrates by pyrolysis by pulverization, demonstrating the versatility of this technique for the development of functional revisions.

The results showed that the hydrophobicity of GO-SnO₂ nanocomposites is higher than that of GO pure and GO-ZnO systems, highlighting their potential for use as protective and autonetoyant materials [17].

Additionally, Zahraa M. Talib and her associates (2022) [18] investigated small couches of graphene-ZnO hybrids prepared by pyrolysis by pulverization at 350 °C, varying the concentration of graphene nanoplaquettes (from 0.1 to 0.5% in mass). X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis revealed a polycrystalline hexagon-shaped wurtzite structure without the detection of secondary phases.

Additionally, the addition of graphene has significantly changed some important structural parameters, such as the size of crystals, the density of dislocations, and microdeformations. Examining the surface morphology has shown that the teneur in graphene plays a significant role in controlling the growth of grains and the alteration of ZnO's microstructure.

Also, optical characterizations have shown an increase in the interdigit band size, from 3.4 eV for pure ZnO to 2.7 eV as graphene concentration increases, confirming the graphene's effectiveness in interdigit band modulation [18]. In a different study, Chunyu Ma et al. (2021) described the synthesis of small GO-TiO₂ couches modified by silver nanoparticles using sol-gel and centrifugation procedures. A controlled cathodic pulverization procedure has made it possible to obtain a uniform distribution of metal nanoparticles with sizes ranging from 23 to 51 nm on the film's surface. All of which improved photocatalytic activity. Similar studies have shown that the Ag-modified TiO₂-GO nanocomposites exhibit increased photocatalytic activity due to improved charge separation and surface plasmonic resonance effects, even though the precise results have not been fully verified [19–21].

The aim of this work is to synthesize graphene oxide (GO) and its nanocomposites with ZnO, SnO₂, and TiO₂ via a hydrothermal method, and to investigate their structural, morphological, and topographical properties using XRD, AFM, and FESEM. Unlike previous studies, this research focuses on comparing the morphological changes induced by different metal oxide nanoparticles on GO sheets under identical synthesis conditions, providing new insights into the surface roughness and crystallite size variations.

II. THE EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

A. Material Synthesis

All highly pure reagents were purchased from Merck (Germany) and used without further purification. Graphite powder (99.9%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, 85%), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, 99%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 98%), tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O, 98%), and titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄, 99%) were used.

B. Making the graphene oxide (GO) solution

The modified Hummers method was used to synthesize graphene oxide from natural graphite paillettes. At a standard procedure, 2.0 g of graphite paillettes were added to a mixture of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) at a volumetric ratio of 9:1 (180 mL:20 mL) while being continuously stirred in a glass container for two hours. After that, 7.3 g of potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) was gradually added to the mixture while the temperature was kept above 10 °C and stirred continuously for 48 hours. Over time, 90 milliliters of distilled water were gradually added with quick stirring, which caused the mixture's color to change from brown to yellow and a suspension to form. Then, 7.0 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and 55 mL of distilled water were used to convert the residual manganese oxides and permanganate into manganese sulfate.

C. Making the GO@ZnO solution

The GO@ZnO nanocomposite was prepared in batch using a hydrothermal method. The precursors used were zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) and sodium hydroxide

(NaOH), which are linked to the previously synthesized graphene oxide. In a standard procedure, a suitable mass of GO (dispersed in 100 mL of mineralized water) was mixed with a solution containing 5 mmol of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and 10 mmol of NaOH under magnetic stirring. To ensure a uniform dispersion, the mixture was subjected to ultrasonication for 30 minutes. The resulting solution was transferred to an autoclave made of inoxidable iron with a Teflon liner. The reactor was naturally cooled to room temperature after being heated to 180 °C for 24 hours. Filtration was used to recover the solid product, which was then repeatedly exposed to demineralized water and heated to 80 °C for 15 hours. The resulting powder has been named GO@ZnO.

D. Making the GO@SnO₂ solution

The nanocomposite GO@SnO₂ was synthesized using a method similar to that of GO@ZnO, substituting the zinc precursor with tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate ($SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$). Briefly, a GO dispersion (prepared as described in 2.1.1) was mixed with an aqueous solution containing 10 mmol of NaOH and 5 mmol of $SnCl_4 \cdot 5H_2O$. After 30 minutes of ultrasonication, the mixture was placed in an autoclave made of inoxidable iron with a Teflon liner. The hydrothermal treatment was carried out at 180 °C for 24 hours, followed by a natural cooling process. The precipitate was filtered, exposed to a lot of demineralized water, and then heated to 80 degrees Celsius for 15 hours. The final material is GO@SnO₂.




Fig. 1: Schematics of the SnO₂-rGO NCs synthesis [15].

E. GO@TiO₂ solution preparation.

The GO@TiO₂ nanocomposite was prepared using a hydrothermal method in a similar batch. Due to their controlled reactivity in an aqueous environment, the precursor of titanium is either the isopropoxide of titanium ($Ti(OCH(CH_3)_2)_4$) or the titanium tetrachloride ($TiCl_4$). In a standard procedure, a dispersion of GO (100 mL, containing around 50 mg of GO) was mixed with 5 mmol of $TiCl_4$ diluted in ethanol to prevent an overly quick hydrolysis. To bring the pH down to about 10, a solution of NaOH (10 mmol in 20 mL of water) was added drop by drop while being stirred. After 30 minutes of ultrasonication, the mixture was placed in an autoclave made of inoxidable iron with a Teflon liner. The temperature was raised to 180 °C for 24 hours and then naturally cooled. The product was filtered, repeatedly exposed to ethanol and demineralized

water, and then heated to 80 °C for 15 hours. The resulting nanocomposite is known as GO@TiO₂. After that, 7.3 g of potassium permanganate ($KMnO_4$) was gradually added to the mixture while the temperature was kept above 10 °C and stirred continuously for 48 hours. Over time, 90 milliliters of distilled water were gradually added with quick stirring, which caused the mixture's color to change from brown to yellow and a suspension to form. Then, 7.0 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and 55 mL of distilled water were used to convert the residual manganese oxides and permanganate into manganese sulfate.

F. Thin film deposition

Before thin film deposition, glass substrates were ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol and distilled water for 30 minutes each [22]. Using compressed air as the carrier gas and a 35 mL solution volume, spray pyrolysis was used to deposit the films. The spray settings comprised a deposition rate of 2–2.5 mL/min and a nozzle-to-substrate distance of roughly 30–40 cm [23]. For GO, GO@ZnO, GO@SnO₂, and GO@TiO₂ thin films, substrate temperatures were tuned at 50, 150, 400, 500, and 550 °C, respectively, in line with the usual temperature ranges employed for these materials' development via spray pyrolysis.

III. RESULTS

A. Structural characterization by X-ray diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) is a preferred method for analyzing the crystal structure of materials. Its foundation is the diffusion of a beam of X rays by a crystal's atoms, resulting in certain diffraction patterns. By recording the angles and intensities of these images, it is possible to reconstruct the electron density map inside the crystal, which allows one to determine the average atomic locations, their chemical relationships, and any potential structural disorders.

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of pure graphene oxide (GO) and nanocomposites containing ZnO, SnO₂, and TiO₂. On Fig. 2 (a), the non-modified GO displays a well-defined diffraction picture at $2\theta = 11^\circ$. In general, two types of crystals are distinguished: true crystals, which are ordered over a long distance, and amorphous or pseudocrystals crystals, which are ordered over a short distance. The first ones show sharp and intense diffraction images with distinct phases. On the other hand, pseudo crystals produce larger images. In the case of GO, the atoms are arranged according to a type AB empilement, and the size of the leaves varies according on the degree of oxidation. The picture at $2\theta \approx 11^\circ$ corresponds to plan (001) and is attributed to the presence of functional oxygenated groups. A second, larger image, at $2\theta = 24^\circ$, is associated with residual graphite in the GO and comes from the plan (002).

The XRD pattern of the GO@ZnO nanocomposite (Fig. 2 (c)) shows a broad peak at $2\theta = 24^\circ$, indicating the interaction between ZnO nanoparticles and the GO matrix. This peak confirms the incorporation of ZnO into the nanocomposite structure. Regarding the GO@SnO₂ nanocomposite (Fig. 2(d)), diffraction images appear at $2\theta = 26,35^\circ; 33,7^\circ; 37,65^\circ$;

51,6°; 54,8°; and 65,8°. These images are attributed to the crystal plans (110), (101), (200), (211), (220), and (112) of the SnO₂ phase, confirming the presence of oxygen in the GO matrix.

TABLE 1. Crystallite size and FWHM of nanoparticles.

Sample	Peak (2θ)	FWHM (deg)	Crystallite size (nm)
GO@SnO ₂	26.35°	0.45	18.2
GO@TiO ₂	25.4°	0.52	15.6

The XRD pattern of GO@TiO₂ Fig. 1(d) shows a peak at 2θ = 10.76° corresponding to the (001) basal plane of GO, confirming its presence in the composite. Using Bragg's law ($n\lambda = 2d \sin\theta$), the interlayer spacing (d) was calculated to be 0.82 nm, which is larger than that of pure GO due to the intercalation of TiO₂ nanoparticles. Additionally, peaks at 2θ = 25.4°, 37.96°, and 48.36° correspond to the (101), (004), and (200) planes of the anatase phase of titanium dioxide, confirming the successful formation of the GO@TiO₂ nanocomposite bragg, confirming the successful production of the nanocomposite GO@TiO₂. The average size of the TiO₂ particles was measured at 1,06 Å.




Fig.2. The XRD patterns of (a) bare GO ,(b) GO@Zn, (c) GO@SnO₂, (d) GO@TiO₂

B. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis

Fig. 3(a,b) shows the GO's unmodified AFM images. The GO sheets have smooth surfaces and are free of any specific contaminants. On the GO's surface, no particle is visible. These findings are consistent with electron microscopy at scanning (FESEM) studies, which also show separate GO sheets.




Fig. 3. AFM topographic and their 3D images of the (a,b) bare GO

The AFM image of the Zn@GO nanocomposite is shown in Fig. 3(c,d). The surface of GO is covered with quasi-spherical nanoparticles that have been assimilated into zinc particles. These same nanoparticles were seen in FESEM as spherical grains, confirming the successful production of the nanocomposite. The addition of zinc nanoparticles alters not only the GO's morphology but also reduces its surface roughness.




Fig. 3. AFM topographic and their 3D images of the (c,d) GO@Zn

As shown in the AFM image of the nanocomposite SnO₂@GO (Fig. 3(e,f)), the surface of the material has uniformly distributed spherical grains. These grains are attributed to SnO₂ nanoparticles, which have a leaf-like morphology under FESEM microscopy. As observed from the AFM images, the addition of SnO₂ nanoparticles increases the structural defects of GO, which affects its rugosity.




Fig. 1-3. AFM topographic and their 3D images of the (e,f) GO@SnO₂

Regarding the AFM image of the nanocomposite TiO₂@GO (Fig. 1-3-g,h), the nanoparticles of TiO₂ exhibit an agglomeration with a fine morphology that is comparable to that seen in FESEM. Thus, anatase-finished TiO₂ granules are detected on the GO surface. The addition of TiO₂ nanoparticles increases structural defects within the nanocomposite, which results in a decrease in surface roughness




Fig. 3. AFM topographic and their 3D images of the (g,h) GO@TiO₂

TABLE 2. Surface roughness parameters from AFM.

Sample	Average Roughness (Ra, nm)	RMS Roughness (Rq, nm)
Bare GO	12.4	15.2
GO@ZnO	8.7	10.5
GO@SnO ₂	6.9	8.3
GO@TiO ₂	7.5	9.1

C. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) analysis

Fig. 4 summarizes the pictures of GO pure and GO-based nanocomposites containing ZnO, SnO₂, and TiO₂, respectively, obtained by electron microscopy scanning to field emission (FESEM). FESEM microscopy is a method tailored to the analysis of material morphology. By balancing the surface of the sample with the help of a focused electron beam, it enables the acquisition of high-resolution and very precise pictures for objects ranging in size from micrometers to nanometers. The FESEM images provide useful information about the composition and topography of the samples.

Fig. 4(a) shows the GO's unmodified FESEM picture. There are clearly visible sheets of graphene oxide that are smooth and clean.

The FESEM picture of the GO@ZnO nanocomposite is shown in figure 1-4-b. This material has a crust-like (crust-like) morphology. In addition to these crust-like particles, there are also quasi-spherical nanoparticles scattered on the surface of GO sheets. These spherical particles are attributed to zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles, which range in size from 10 to 40 nm.




Fig 4-1. The FESEM images of (a) bare GO, (b) GO@Zn

The FESEM picture of the GO@SnO₂ nanocomposite is shown in Fig.4(C). This material exhibits a leaf-like morphology. The average size of SnO₂ nanoparticles is about 200 nm. The comparison of the FESEM images of GO pure and GO@SnO₂ revealed that the GO sheets' surface is covered with SnO₂ nanoparticles.

The FESEM picture of the nanocomposite GO@TiO₂ (figure 1-4-d) shows spherical agglomerated TiO₂ grains scattered across the GO surface. These nanoparticles have a rather uniform size, averaging 50 nm.




Fig.4 The FESEM images of (c) GO@SnO₂, (d) GO@TiO₂

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study examined the morphological behavior of graphene oxide (GO) and its nanocomposites (GO@ZnO, GO@SnO₂, and GO@TiO₂). The successful synthesis of these nanocomposites has been confirmed by the results of investigations using X-ray diffraction (XRD), electron microscopy with balayage to emission of champ (FESEM), and atomic force microscopy (AFM).

Regarding the diffraction of X-rays, the crystal plans (110) have demonstrated the adhesion of zinc nanoparticles to the GO surface. Plans (110), (101), (200), (211), (220), and (112) confirm the presence of SnO₂ nanoparticles inside the structure of the GO@SnO₂ nanocomposite. Additionally, the production of the nanocomposite GO@TiO₂ is confirmed by the crystal plans (001), (101), (004), (200), and (110).

The AFM and FESEM images have demonstrated that the nanocomposites' morphologies are clearly unique, providing additional evidence of their synthesis. Additionally, AFM tests have shown that the addition of metal nanoparticles results in a decrease in surface roughness.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.K. Araújo, A.S. Carvalho, A.R. Santos, E. Padrón-Hernández, E.H. Falcão, Influence of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide on TiO₂-reinforced flexible poly (vinyl alcohol) films for electromagnetic interference shielding, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* 1010 (2025) 177671.
- [2] Z. Zhang, Evolution and preparation of graphene oxide and deep learning image characterization, in: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, IOP Publishing, 2025: p. 012028. <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2961/1/012028/meta> (accessed April 6, 2026).
- [3] A. Nadtochiy, A.M. Gorb, B.M. Gorelov, O. Polovina, O. Korotchenkov, *Graphene-Based Polymer Nanocomposites: Models and Applications*, Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2792-6>.
- [4] V. Pasindu, P. Yapa, S. Dabare, "I. Munaweera, Multifunctional transition metal oxide/graphene oxide nanocomposites for catalytic dye degradation, renewable energy, and energy storage applications" *RSC Advances* 15 (2025) 33162–33186.
- [5] O. Alami, R. Laurent, S. El Kazzouli, N. El Brahmi, A.-M. "Caminade, Dendrimers and graphene oxide: An overview on combination, properties and applications" *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 558 (2026) 217781.
- [6] J. Yang, J. Zhang, M. Yusoff, N.A. Roslan, M.H. "Razali, Titanium dioxide nanowires and reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite coated gellan gum film for drug delivery to enhance skin regeneration" *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials & Biostructures (DJNB)* 20 (2025). <https://storage.imrpress.com/IMR/1972208059049676800/application/301-314.pdf> (accessed April 6, 2026).
- [7] M. Siahshalan, S.M. Aref, H. Naghsara, R. "Azmayesh, The effects of reduced graphene oxide amount on the photocatalytic performance of TiO₂ nanoparticles for hydrogen evolution" *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 142 (2025) 318–329.
- [8] A.S. AlShammari, M.M. Halim, F.K. Yam, N.M. Kaus, "Synthesis of Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂)/Reduced Graphene Oxide (rGO) thin film composite by spray pyrolysis technique and its physical properties" *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing* 116 (2020) 105140.
- [9] Y. Qiao, M. Li, Y. Zhao, X. Cheng, J. Chen, S. Yang, L. Ni, Y. Gong, S. Shi, "Stable dopamine-based ink for facile fabrication of robust and efficient fog-collectors" *Materials Today Chemistry* 42 (2024) 102345.
- [10] R.P. Reshma, N.S. Abishek, K.N. "Gopalakrishna, Synthesis and characterization of graphene oxide, tin oxide, and reduced graphene oxide-tin oxide nanocomposites" *Inorganic Chemistry Communications* 165 (2024) 112451.
- [11] J. Wei, X. Hu, Y. Li, Z. Bian, K. Yan, D. Wu, "3D-printed piezoelectric ceramics with auxetic structure for high-performance sensing applications" *Ceramics International* 51 (2025) 2509–2517.
- [12] S. Li, S. Xia, X. Ma, L. Du, Y. Li, Z. Tang, Z. Wu, W. Zhang, "Graphene-Based Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials: From Scalable Synthesis" *Interfacial Mechanism to Emerging Biomedical Applications, Carbon Neutralization* 5 (2026) e70121. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cnl2.70121>.
- [13] N. Rashidi, N.S. Harasymowicz, A. Savadipour, N. Steward, R. Tang, S. Oswald, "F. Guilak, PIEZO1-mediated mechanotransduction regulates collagen synthesis in nanostructured 2D and 3D models of fibrosis" *Acta Biomaterialia* 193 (2025) 242–254.
- [14] B. Peng, X. Qi, L. Qiao, J. Lu, Z. Qian, C. Wu, Z. Xue, X. Kou, "Nanocomposite-Enabled Next-Generation food packaging: A comprehensive review on advanced Preparation Methods, functional Properties" *preservation Applications, and safety considerations, Foods* 14 (2025) 3688.
- [15] M. Kigozi, B.N. Ezealigo, A.P. Onwualu, N.Y. Dzade, "Hydrothermal Synthesis of Metal Oxide Composite Cathode Materials for High Energy Application, in: F.I. Ezema, C.D. Lokhande, R. Jose (Eds.)" *Chemically Deposited Nanocrystalline Metal Oxide Thin Films*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021: pp. 489–508. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68462-4_19.
- [16] J. Singh, D.D. Nguyen, P. Leclere, P. Nguyen-Tri, "Recent Advancements in Graphene-Based Nanocomposites for Enhanced Photocatalysis in Environmental Remediation: A Comprehensive Review" *Reviews Env.Contamination (Formerly:Residue Reviews)* 263 (2025) 13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44169-025-00086-4>.
- [17] R. S. Yousif, "Examination of the structural, morphological, and self-cleaning characteristics of graphene oxide-based nanocomposite thin films," *Results in Physics*, p. 108410, 2025.
- [18] Z.M. Talib, A.I. Hassan, J.A. Saimon, "Structural and optical properties of graphene-ZnO nanohybrid thin films synthesized by spray pyrolysis" *Journal of Applied Sciences and Nanotechnology* 2 (2022) 1–9.
- [19] J.-D. Hwang and Z.-J. Hong, "Enhancing the Schottky-barrier height by inserting a thin MgO layer between Au and annealed-ZnO," *Materials Research Bulletin*, vol. 144, p. 111478, 2021.
- [20] F. Bayati, M.K. Mohammadi, R.J. Yengejeh, A.A. Babaei, "Ag₂O/GO/TiO₂ composite nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization, and optical studies" *Journal of the Australian Ceramic Society* 57 (2021) 287–293.
- [21] A. Piarristeguy, R. Nuernberg, D. Jouglard, M. Ramonda, R. Arinero, A. Pradel, M. Neyret, "High-resolution electrical characterization of RuO₂-borosilicate glass composites" *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* 876 (2021) 160123.

[22] N. Akhtar, M. Rani, A. Mahmood, K. Tariq, G. Murtaza, A.A. Alothman, R.S. AL-zahrani, S. Ali, N.K. Janjua, "A. Shah, Synthesis and characterization of graphene oxide-based nanocomposite NaCr2O4/GO for electrochemical applications" *Journal of Materials Research and Technology* 15 (2021) 6287–6294.

[23] T. Zinchenko, E. Pecherskaya, S. Gurin, M. Novichkov, V. Alexandrov, G. Kozlov, "Optimization of

Deposition Parameters for Thin-Film Semiconductor Structures via Spray Pyrolysis" in: 2025 IEEE 26th *International Conference of Young Professionals in Electron Devices and Materials* (EDM), IEEE, 2025: pp. 130–134.

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/11096645/> (accessed April 7, 2026).